DNCT/EWA

Draft Meeting Minutes 6/22/99

9:30-12:30

Attendees:

Mike Fris, Karl Halupka, Jim White, Pete Chadwick, Bruce Herbold, Pete Rhoads, Art Hinojosa, Dave Fullerton, BJ Miller, Jim Buell, Russ Brown, Tom Cannon, Ron Ott, Dave Forkel, Guy Masier, Dale Flowers

Agenda:

- 1. Evaluations
- 2. Issues
- 3. Implementation
- 4. Next Game
- 5. Report

Game 5X – Summary by Dave Fullerton

Purpose of "5X" game was to test various tools that the gaming group might consider for the next game.

- Use a variety of upstream options especially in dry years.
- Made multiple uses of water in upstream areas.
- Used existing facilities as in game 5.
- Could move water much more efficiently in dry years but some tools showed benefits in wet years.
- Will review in more detail before next game

Fish Evaluations

Delta smelt: Focus on comparing to historical salvage and weighting fish and life stages.

Striped Bass: Pete Chadwick

- 1. Salvaged looked at as an index of vulnerability.
- 2. Delta outflow also an index factors.
- 3. Converted salvage to yearling equivalents.
- 4. Annual averages rather than more detailed evaluation.

- 5. Salvaged increased significantly over historic.
- 6. EWA actions had little effect on salvage in relation to base.
- 7. EWA action made salvage higher in summer months and lower in winter seasonal tradeoffs.
- 8. Overall effect of actions were small.
- 9. Figure 2 "should be five final" same for below.
- 10. Outflow outflows are higher in May under Baseline for games 2 and 4 given Accord. Game 5 a little higher. EWA actions has little effect on May, except in Game 5 which significantly increased May outflows. June and July little effect of the Accord and EWA. Overall outflow index improved for May, thus positive effect on striped bass.
- Q: Entrainment effects on larvae and closure of DCC? Hard to deal with gate closure variable.
- C: EWA had an opposite effect in games 4 and 5. R: Averaged over the five years individual years were a better indicator.
- Q: Conclusion? Pete C: Salvage data is an indicator that striped bass are more vulnerable to entrainment under todays than historical conditions, and that EWA actions had small effects on striped bass.
- C: Science on striped bass is in state of flux. Focus on salvage underplays total losses (larvae) egg and larval benefits are likely high for Accord and EWA.
- C: Do analysis for Banks and Tracy mortality using Four Pumps method for converting salvage to mortality would show benefits of shifting from Banks to Tracy. Relate to annual production estimates.
- Pete: Doesn't fully understand how salvage numbers and mortality are generated.
- C: These numbers hang in mid air.
- C: Comfortable with the conclusion that the EWA does not have an effect on striped bass.
- C: Look at population abundance and densities. Densities show that we have shifted from high densities to low densities.
- C: Should perform analysis for both Banks and Tracy using yearling equivalents, to look at potential between diversion benefits.
- C: Should extend analysis to percent of population.
- C: Game 4 used CVP densities and salvage only- making it difficult to make comparisons. Solution: Use CVP triggers but assess total salvage Russ will run numbers and revise tables. Mike Fris can make adjustments.
- Q: Can we also evaluate the Oct Federal Game proposal.

Delta Smelt - Mike Fris

- 1. Separated to adults and juveniles.
- 2. Comparing across games not years.
- 3. Show change and percent change to historic and baseline.

- 4. Show relative benefits better to do something when population angst factor. Base on delta smelt recovery numbers if population previous fall is low then weight benefits higher. Ranges from –5 to +5. Also look at distribution not sure how to do this. Shows different actions have different benefits. Show beneficial actions relative to water costs.
- Q: Idea of taking juveniles salvage and divide by summer index, and adult salvage and divide by Fall Mid water trawl index. Weigh salvage index by abundance index.

No conclusion as yet.

Chinook Salmon - Jim White

- 1. Salmon distribution in time uses salvage data.
- 2. All salmon runs lumped.
- 3. Adjusted timings based on salvage occurrence.
- 4. For evaluation used average occurrence shift temporal distribution of fish in the Delta by reflecting occurrence in the salvage.
- 5. Earlier end to successful migration
- Q: Have you generated corrected salvage/survival tables?
- Q: Angst factor? For winter run yes.

Proposes to use DFG multiple regression model using CWT return data used in Newman Rice model. Also used temperature conditions in river - a refinement is needed - could use Freeport temperatures.

Issue:

There are three competing approaches to evaluate effects of pumping on Sacramento River Salmon 1) GEIBEL 2) Neuman 3) Russ's migration survival pathways. Need to evaluate by all three methods. Jim White will do one method. Jim Buell and Pete Rhoads another.

Upstream and Delta action benefits to salmon?

Upstream actions are translated to Freeport and Vernalis flows. We should enumerate these factors among historic, baseline, and EWA. Need to look at benefits further upstream where they are more significant locally.

Need to account for upstream operation changes.

VAMP results – absolute survival with river flow but not exports – but no numbers for higher exports. This should be factored into evaluation. What do we assume in the interim. San Joaquin improve survival by 2% by each 1000 cfs. Model shows increased pumping and flow reductions impacts to San Joaquin salmon survival. No data to indicate exports effects SJ salmon survival to Chipps – only flow is a factor.

Issue:

Can't conclusively say that we have data to support a relationship between exports and salvage.

Water Supply – BJ Miller

- 1. Separated federal and state deliveries. Added 200TAF to federal demands. Art made an adjustment to MWD demands expect to be able to take more water in wetter years and less in dry years. MWD also wanted more low salinity water, otherwise they would need even more water. Did this two ways as a result of these features. Art recombined MWD demands with other SWP demands that did not change. Art added 200,000 as did federal demands. Then recombined the state and federal demands to show combined deliveries.
- 2. End of Stage 1 expected deliveries. For high salinity MWD demands: Average wet 6370, average above normal 6400, below norm 6140, dry 5700, critical dry 3600 combined deliveries.
- 3. MWD wants to take more in wetter years and less in drier years. They would use East Side Reservoir to do this.
- 4. Deliveries/demands need to be broken down by month.
- C: New water will have to be developed. EWA could develop water and sell to contractors to meet some of their needs.
- C: All could benefit from sharing facilities (storage and conveyance)

Compare to deliveries under the Accord.

Q: How do we put these demands into the next game.

C: BJ with work with members on team and stakeholders to develop the monthly demands for the CVP/SWP for the water years 1991-95 for the next game.

Water Quality - nothing for today

TECHNICAL TEAMS

- 1. Charge: flushing out hypotheses for things that need evaluation over Stage 1.
- 2. Could identify analyses to conduct over next six months.
- 3. Long-Term: We could identify things CMARP should be targeting.
- 4. Short Term: We could resolve technical issues such as:

What did we use EWA for?

How do we justify using water?.

- 5. Hypotheses that define the underline use of the EWA. Example: reducing salvage losses benefits populations. What are the other top ten basic assumptions.
- 6. Need to prioritize what we are doing.- address assumptions in dispute and implications for the gaming and for the future.
- C: Should conduct critical evaluations of all fish protection actions and see if they might be better served by an EWA.

- C: Worried about implementation issues.
- C: Worried about short term technical issues starting to work these out.
- C: Discuss why issues are important.. Need to develop positions stated clearly along with rationales. Why there are differences on issues.
- C: Need to do more evaluation work before gaming.
- C: Are the fish densities expected or a mystery.
- C: Benefits of our actions how much of the patterns can we explain.

Pete Chadwick, BJ Miller, Pete Rhoads, Bruce Herbold, Mike Thabault will use e-mail to develop a list of Short Term evaluation issues for consideration by the group.

Next Meeting

Thursday July 1st.

9:30-12:30